

DIO to Students of Coverups & Shunnings www.dioi.org Tel.410-889-1414 2010/12/27

DIO-slandering hit&run astronomy-historian pols keep hiding from us, frightened by our counter of measuring their math-reliability, etc (www.dioi.org/jha.htm; &nap.htm), as we keep doing technically expert history while showing how smart dictator-shunner-haters are at discerning what's valid. Politicians' exilings&coverups are academic dirtytricks, used only by dim&desperate archons who can't compete intellectually or they'd face mere disagreement (& even scandals) with open hearings.

At the AmerAstrSoc's 2011 Jan 9 meeting (Seattle), its long-DIO-shunning Historical Astr Div (which hugely snookered the world press with its pseudo-refereed [www.dioi.org/qqq.htm#hhwc], soon-defunct 2005 Farnese farce) is wooing press-trust yet again: holding a session (chaired by non-specialists [www.dioi.org/sca.htm#pnrf] C.Waff & W.Sheehan) on the genuinely grand discovery of the planet Neptune by Urbain Leverrier (b.1811), who in 1846 predicted its place within 1° via perturbational math. DIO is conspicuously uninvited for the panel even though: An AAAS-NYTimes-DIO 1999 appeal to NOAO ensured the integrity of the Neptune affair's key file, whose RoyAstrSoc-funded gleanings reside exclusively at www.dioi.org/kn/neptune/index.htm. DIO's elite Board (www.dioi.org/who.html) includes C.Kowal (discoverer of the earliest Neptune observation: 1612) & E.M.Standish (world-standard Neptune orbit creator). DIO Publisher D.Rawlins has probed the Neptune affair longer than anyone (45<sup>y</sup>). Standish and DR have published research papers on perturbational math in top professional journals. (How many panelists have?) DR is cited in W&S' own 2004 Dec *Scientific American* article (p.98) for the orbit evidence establishing Leverrier's long-stolen primacy as discoverer: primacy&theft proposed by only 1 journal in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, DIO (1992-1999), a point suppressed (www.dioi.org/sca.htm#krl) by *ScAm*, hiding its coverhyped story's nonpriority, while protecting W&S by doctoring a DR letter (www.dioi.org/sca.htm#sdct) & meeting protest by deleting ref to DIO 9.1 from *ScAm*'s web version of the article. (And see later pressure to delete said deletions at the DR Wikipedia bio, whose entire Neptune section vanished 2008/3/10, amidst threats&smears of DIO scholars plus extortionist suppressions: www.dioi.org/dec.htm#xvpc.)

So long as HAD's membership supinely assents to leadership that exiles people & ideas, DIO won't sow reason upon HAD cement. We're just warning noncultists of an AAS division rightly shy of expert crossexam, and of a session neutered to re-sanitize & re-mythologize a history whose vicious-circle-coverup reality (www.dioi.org/nap.htm#ncnj) has kept archons cringing for 165<sup>y</sup>.