

‡4 OJ Darts & Nordberg Walks

A Is-That-a-Promise? The Bacon-Brooks Hypothesis

Whites-Ain't-So-Smart-Either (Part 4. Continuing a series begun at *DIO* 4.2 ‡9 §R.)

[And mostly-white — part American Indian¹ — DR also ain't so smart: §C5.]

A1 Why (other than to help enrich lawyers instead of undertakers) do US officials put suicide-watches on murderers, such as Susan Smith² (the South's answer to drought) and OSimpson (Hertz Corp. boardperson & NBC-TV commentator)?

A2 In *Othello* 5.2: blonde, innocent Desdemona has been murdered minutes earlier by the obsessively jealous moor Othello, who saves the Venetian state the bother of an execution by stabbing himself to death. Othello's "arithmetician" Florentine lieutenant, Cassio, is illogically shocked by this, alibing his own failure to prevent the suicide by protesting in anguish, "This did I fear, but thought he had no weapon." (Emph added)

A3 Likewise, one recalls the outlandish M.Brooks fantasy scene in his 1973 film, *Blazing Saddles*, as a 19th century redneck town's unexpectedly-black newly-arrived sheriff, Cleavon Little, avoids threatened wildwest-lynching, by suddenly grabbing a gun, putting it to his head & counter-"threatening" to: do exactly what the crowd had wanted to do, namely, kill Cleavon Little. The crowd shrinks back: Careful,³ men — he means it. . . . (Cleavon after escape: boy, are these whites DUUUUMB.) Question: if Shakespeare was really F.Bacon, then: was F.Bacon really Mel Brooks?

A4 When audiences first encountered the Mel Brooks DUUUUMB scene, all thought it hilarious precisely *because* it was so wildly nutty — so outrageously, utterly impossible in the real world. Fast-forward to 1994/6/17, as woman-beater Othello J. Simpson darts for freedom after murdering his Dezzy-blonde exwife (6/12); but, hark, he's spotted — and is swiftly surrounded by most of the population of L.A. (Largely *cheering* the murderer.⁴ Gosh, I can't imagine why L.A. is suffering a middle-class exodus.) A fleet of police-cars

¹ DR does not use the term "Native American" (since we Indians are not the only persons born in the Americas). For similarly misguided racism, we turn to the notorious G.Elias case, where a heavily "African-American" Baltimore court committed a judicial outrage against Dr. Elias, a decent, brilliant U.Md Hospital oncologist who was evidently perceived as part of the "white" satanic Enemy. Actually, the only true "African-American" in the entire court was Elias himself! (He is a Cairo-born Egyptian.) Elias is a protégé of one of the finest men I've ever known, Geo.Yeager, who was a close friend of my late father (Lou Rawlins) from 1931. George (who reached age 90 on 1995/10/19, still as wise and cheery as ever) has been tops in his field as: surgeon, teacher, researcher, editor, architect, & administrator — a combination of high skills which will never again occur in the history of medicine. (George successively headed the U.Md & Union Memorial hospitals.) He is probably the only living 3rd generation professional descendant of the original Johns Hopkins Hospital's now-immortal Four: Halsted, Kelly, Osler, Welch. George's mentor, Harvey Stone (a pupil of Halsted), was also the father of his dear wife, the gifted artist Dorothy Stone Yeager.

² Smith is the me-first social-climbing S.Carolina yuppie who drowned her innocent, terrified, strapped-in children in her car — and then tried to drown the rest of the neighborhood in crocodile tears. My wife Barbara suggested right after the S.Smith confession that the US has become so lawyerized with alibi-artistry that Smith might try excusing her deed on the Grouchesque ground that she didn't want her kids to grow up in a world containing her. As with the OSimpson-LAPD replay of M.Brooks' *Blazing Saddles* nut-fantasy (§A3), it is no longer possible to satirize the dramatics of modern crime: just a couple of weeks after B's ironic comment, we read (*Washington Times* 1994/11/26 p.A7) of a Smith-copycat — a debt-saddled, alimony-terrified Japanese physician — who (10/29) murdered his divorcing wife and then killed their two innocent children as well, explaining (as recounted by police): "Their future would be pitiful with no mother and a father who was a criminal."

³ Dr. Tom Linden, psychiatrist, commented live (1994/6/17), on national TV 'snews, regarding OSimpson's suicide threat [emph in orig]: "He has to be taken very VERY seriously." (Those addicted to shrinkanalysis pay fortunes to receive the wisdom of such experts.)

⁴ And, after a dedicated prosecution expended ordmag \$10,000,000 & tens of thousands of pages of record, reconstructing a massively detailed trail of OS sanguine droppings, and spending thousands of hours of finely-tuned reasoning & inductive logic, what had changed? OS' fans were cheering as lustily as ever. And the jury's response (like fn 14) was a cursory shove-it. (After encountering similar nit-logic from Ivy League Muffiosi, in reaction to similarly meticulous DR high-tech inductive reconstructions of ancient astronomy, I am more aware than most that cultist prejudice's damage to clear thought is a problem not restricted to ethnic ghettos.)

converges on him, but: the very instant he puts a gun to his head, the genius L.A. cops (*DIO* 1.2 §H2) cringe and snap into reverse with the trampoline-elasticity of cross-zapped vampires. (If you see *Othello* as a tragedy, then think on this: Cleavon Little did not live to see 1994/6/17's impromptu *Blazing Saddles* remake.)

A5 By contrast, my wife took one glance at the televised Bronco&retinue Simpson-cavalcade and left the room, declaring (in justifiable disgust at the decline of the theatre in our age): heeee's not gonna kill himself. . . .

B This Year's Trial-of-the-Century Ends

B1 Going easy on criminal celebrities is nothing new and not restricted to any race, as we know from Roman history (Horatius, Scipio, Barabbas)⁵ or white US history (Nixon). But OS (who sprinkled his blood & bloody clothes around his victims, car, & house almost as freely as S.Smith sprinkled tears around S.Carolina) may have attained a rare superlative,⁶ namely: *the* clumsiest torture-murderer⁷ ever to be voted innocent. (Thanks to the master truth-shaders of the US lawyer-clique — and its transformation of justice-seeking from a noble profession into a dark art.) I.e., OS' once-lovably-inept "Nordberg" character (in the *Naked Gun* film series) came to sinister, amoral life.

B2 In response, one hears mostly talk of continuing on, fumbling&tampering with the traditional⁸ jury&court system. My own contribution in this direction — a semi-facetious hung-by-the-jury-until-you-are-dead scheme (*DIO* 4.2 ‡9 §F) — in truth was as short-sighted (& as subject to arbitrary abuse) as anything it might seem to correct.

B3 If OSimpson's DreamUp-Team's defense cost him λ millions, but (now-ended) alimony to his ex-rated wife Nicole was costing him α millions/year, then simple division⁹ suggests that wife-murder may pay: he'll break even in λ/α years, and will be fiscally ahead of the game from then on.

B4 Some justly suggest that the OS case was lost at the jury-selection stage. One may ask: why (fear of offending political blocs?) did the Los Angeles DA (G.Pontius Garcetti) lay the basis for eventual acquittal by [a] overswiftly forswearing the biggest stick (seeking death penalty) for inducing a confession-deal, [b] moving the venue from OS' neighborhood (to an area with lots of OS support), and [c] not using jury-profiling? And why has Garcetti (1995/10/3) gratuitously opposed a post-verdict federal civil rights indictment of OS?

B5 Given mass black joy at the verdict (& the jury's 75% black composition), the race angle has correctly been given alot of play in this case. But it can be interpreted in several ways. (And there are other, non-race-related points to consider.)

[a] Some jurors may have been less bigotted than simply (for whatever reason) mentally unqualified. A juror — as humorless as mirrorless — put it very well (while abusively & unselfconsciously attacking the carefully-reasoned prosecution-case): "garbage in, garbage out." Does anyone seriously believe that mean US juror IQ (white or black or whatever) is

⁵ Barabbas may have gotten a bad deal from conventional history. He is just called a "robber" by John 18.40. But Mark 15.7 ascribes to him (murderous) insurrection. Luke 23.19 calls it sedition. (Matt 27.16 says he was "notable".) It sounds like he fought brutal Roman oppression openly & directly instead of with Jesus' doubletalk (e.g., Matt 22.21). So, was the Jewish mob right to pardon him instead of Jesus?

⁶ Besides already being the most famous pre-event domestic-killer of the century. The nearest thing previously: Booth also was a top celebrity-actor before killing Lincoln on 1865/4/14. That's showfolk.

⁷ OS' technique was reputedly used upon the 9th Caesar by the 10th's troops (Suetonius *Vitellius* 17): "The soldiers put [Vitellius] through the torture of the little cuts before finally killing him".

⁸ Our rich rulers aren't going to overhaul a court system that favors the rich. So, instead of reform, justice will be determined by the elite media, case-by-case. I.e., OS will be damned individually. Lawyer Cochran's overkill ploy of using Nation-of-Islam troops offended the Jewish lobby. Thus, one outcome of the OS case might be a realignment: that lobby switching from its traditional alliance with blacks to a more genuinely progressive link with the women's movement.

⁹ Neglecting interest & inflation.

in the 3 digit range? (Thanks to an earlier generation of Baileys & Cochrans, one couldn't today convict even JFK's killer, L.Oswald.)

[b] Most US inner city blacks have relatives who've been arrested by unsmiling police. (On the other side: many have relatives who've been knifed.)

[c] If we discount the influence of OS-team money corrupting the press, then: just for *entertainment* (profit) purposes, the media fanned more public paranoia¹⁰ than any force since the Third Reich. Those selling media ads wanted (as for the previous sports-brutality soap-saga: Tonya-Nancy) to spur ratings with sportsbiz-style gotta-watch cliffhangerhood. (I.e., generate "controversy" regardless of truth.) But only paranoid theories could create an "other side" in the OS case. So, the media regularly paraded before the public a succession of defense lawyers — i.e., *the* most ingeniously deceitful species produced by millions of years of evolution. All these chaps were introduced as experts, without mentioning that their top expertise is deception, which is what they do for a living. (But: do we expect moral revulsion from the very TV-ad-exec's who demanded an OS cliffhanger?)

[d] Given the kinds of politicians US whites elect, they are in a weak position to condemn blacks who fall for plausible con-men like OS' chief-lawyer Johnny Cochran, who clearly deserves rapid elevation to: Congressman JC. If one believes a 1995/10/3 ABC-TV 'news poll, only 70% (±4.5%) of whites think OS guilty. (This in a case in which the evidence is what Manson-prosecutor V.Bugliosi rightly called a prosecutor's dream. Again,¹¹ one wonders: is the remaining 30% any relation to the [data-mass-discounting & nit-obsessing] paranoid bunch who believe that WW2 Germany didn't systematically kill millions of Jews?) Taking this number to the 12th power, we find that the odds of picking a unanimous guilty-voting jury, even from whites, would be barely 1%! The odds of finding such a dozen in the black community is found by taking 17% or 18% (§C8) to the 12th power: 1-in-a-billion. Yet despite this, I hoped¹² that my long discouragement at black culture's mean state¹³ would be spectacularly disconfirmed by a perceptive verdict. (I delight in stereotype-breakers: DIO 4.3 ¶13 §B4. And I empathize with the Goldman family, who — while so many were treating wifebeater & sneak-slasher OS as a hero — were mourning

¹⁰ Cult-rejection of reasonable police testimony has a long history. E.g., the Jerusalem police reported that Jesus' body was not resurrected but was stolen by his disciples. (Christians, applying their usual delicacy in evidential discrimination, prefer to believe instead that: god suspended the laws of nature and whiffed life into a stiff.) One of Jesus' rich backers (Matt 27:57-60) had already persuaded the bod out of the grasp of Pilate (who earlier had tried to ploy-intervene in Jesus' behalf: Matt 27.17f). The tomb was *hermetically sealed* (à la J.Carson's Karnak) with a huge stone (*ibid* 66). And, well, yes, somebody later moved the stone & sat on it — but that's OK because he said he was an angel: Matt 28.2. [If an Academy-Award-night envelope is ever, in mid-ceremony, discovered to be unsealed, I suggest the emcee just sit on the envelope & announce that no one should worry about tampering because he's an angel.] Many of the very US establishmentaryans, who privately realize blacks are fantasizing alibis for OS, will swear that the ancient Roman police faked their rational explanation of Christians' Easter "miracle". (Matt 28:11-15 says Jewish priests bribed the military police. If the Bible is the word-of-god, then god says those cops were Jewish-conspiracy-paid liars. . . . Just another lesson in the reliability of legend, sacred writ, & popular wisdom.)

¹¹ See DIO 2.1 ¶1 §A1.

¹² I did better in the S.Smith case, where (before suspicions were made public) I argued with high skepticism about her convenient story that a black kidnapper had stolen her children.

¹³ Mass black paranoia won't die just because race-haters are cleared out of the L.A.P.D. (The bizarre fixation [of the media & the film industry] upon the occasional evidence-planting-cop — rather than the pathology of inner city neighborhoods — is akin to blaming a rotting bandaid for a deep, life-threatening wound.) Note: if the US legal system's revolving-door-courtroom turns police work into perpetual ploughing-in-the-sea frustration (like the Korean War, which was actually called a "police action" at the time) and if lawyer-protected mobster-druglords corrupt the police, then: why be surprised if many idealist cops depart — and the LAPD's remains are allied with time-servers & sadists? Moreover, since the lawyers are helping to cause this trend, it'll become them to attack the police as immoral. (Indeed, for US defense lawyers to criticize *anyone* for immorality is the height of comedy.) Further, given spectacular nationwide black crime rates: attempting to expunge statistical race-postjudice in cops is akin to asking them to reject what their eyes (& a 1st-grade counting ability) tell them. (Though, this is no excuse for damning whole groups or treating individuals unfairly.) The press is applying the until-you've-walked-in-my-shoes logic to all groups except cops. (Since TV 'news has already clarified our thought by identifying the police as the chief cause of inner cities, it follows that the terrible "random" shootings occurring there must be by invisible cops — who are taking recreational time off from yawner-routine evidence-plantings, in order to enjoy the tension-relieving spice-refreshment of race-hate target-practice.)

a genuine hero, Ron Goldman.) I criticize Liberals¹⁴ for projecting their mentalities onto others. But, in this case, I (more than once) did the very same thing. DR's most persistent failing is to wish-trust¹⁵ that people will think fairly & rationally. Experience (outside my own circle of valued friends) repeatedly shows otherwise, but it's part of my incurable optimism to keep looking (DIOgenes-like) for an exception to my own cynicism — because that is what I myself strive to be.

C OS Doubletakes

C1 *Well, that's a comfort:* When OS friend M.Slotkin was asked what effect an acquittal would have on OS, the reply was, "I don't think he's going to change much"

C2 Jo-Ellan Dimitrius, OS' charming-fox hireling-jury-slanter,¹⁶ slipped & told a truth: "I'm a true believer in the jury system in the United States."

C3 It was obvious from the outset that the two OS murders would lead to a total incarceration of at least ten years. For the jury.¹⁷

C4 Even while US establishment-volk ritualistically condemn racism, they simultaneously reacted (privately) to the OS case with [i] a judgement & [ii] a prediction. These are worth placing side-by-side: [i] Only an idiot can believe OS is innocent. [ii] A lot of the [mostly black] jury will vote OS innocent.

C5 But the worst (& most doubletake-worthy) prediction was by DR, who — neglecting Mencken's dictum [no one ever lost a buck underestimating the US public's intelligence] — flippantly supposed (DIO 4.2 ¶9 §F3) that even a cheap idiot-prosecutor couldn't lose all 12 jurors. (The suggestion's only plus: same verdict for a lot less public cost.)¹⁸

C6 The actual outcome spat in the face of public trust in decency and intelligent democracy. It is a victory for truth-manipulating lawyers, KKK predictions, & cynics everywhere — and for the repeatedly vindicated theory that (as with our elections): when millions of dollars are on the loose, over&under the table, *something* will always go wrong with a rationally-intended process.

¹⁴ E.g., fn 26. The irony is that the admirably decent Liberal publisher Chas. Peters was all along far wiser than DR on the trial's outcome. E.g., he led off the 1994/9 issue of his *Wash Monthly* (over a year before the verdict) with a note he'd received from L.A., describing its writer's black friend (caps in orig): "This guy is middle class or better, definitely a solid citizen. He told me that he knew O.J. was guilty — just by the way he looked in court when they showed the pictures of the bodies, and by the fact that it took him a month to mount a campaign to hunt for the killers of his children's mother — but that even so . . . if he were on the jury, HE WOULD VOTE NOT GUILTY. When I asked why, he replied, 'If it was good enough for the Menendez brothers, it's good enough for [Simpson]. And besides, I know the LAPD isn't above framing a suspect.' [DR: note that this last point's relevance to the OS case is contradicted by his previous (cop-independent) reasons for realizing OS' guilt.] I can't stress enough that this was a very level-headed guy saying this. The prosecution is doomed, I think." On 1995/10/13, my black (defense lawyer) neighbor said she was delighted at the OS verdict regardless of his guilt, because OS' conviction would have enforced the "stereotype" of blacks as criminals. (If a white mob cheered the release of a white killer for similar reasons, this spectacle would rightly be called racist. Question: why does racism submerge feminism?) A few days later (10/31), she said she was sure of his innocence: "No doubt in my mind." So I asked how OS got cuts on his hands. Entire reply: "Who cares?" [A colleague's version, 1995/11/21: "So what?"] Over 80% of blacks agree. Such is the state of black epistemology — even after decades of persistent US gov't uplift, integration, & preference programs.

¹⁵ E.g., I believe that there are Muffios who are quietly embarrassed by their own cult's misbehavior (¶1 fnn 3&5), but I expect no public confirmation of that DR optimism.

¹⁶ Dimitrius used potential jurors' handwriting (*Newsweek* 1995/10/30 p.84) when selecting likely acquitters. (One can see where examining handwriting might be useful to someone looking for low-education jurors.) Was it accidental that the jury ended up containing virtually no one who'd been to college? (Is popular promotion of "graphology" going to serve as a cover while wealthy criminals' jury-filterers systematically dumify US juries?)

¹⁷ Total including rejects & alternates.

¹⁸ But the Clark-Darden experiment was better: mass-racist black-cheering of a wifebeating killer's release was an invaluable wakeup-shock to even the densest traditional civil-righteous Lib. It may assume the same rôle which N.Chamberlain's 1938 peace-in-our-time naïvete served, for 1938-1940 observers (who might have blamed England for war, had hostilities started in 1938): when give-'em-enough-rope gentility goes far beyond rationality, it can ultimately help enlighten the very slowest learners.

C7 Defense attorney Rob't Blasier (1995/10/3), alibiing his huge fee: "People who don't have a lot of money aren't going to get the same kind of justice. [But it's] not a matter of [Simpson] buying justice, it's a matter of everybody else should be entitled to this as well." Great. The case's sum legal cost (both sides) was roughly \$15,000,000. So, with over 20,000 US murders/year, the annual legal fees (for trying all of these cases with the care Blasier insists upon) will total about 1/3 of a trillion dollars. Adding in the cost of trying rape & assault cases, we're talking about spending the nation's entire annual budget (ordmag \$1,000,000,000,000) just for paying lawyers to entertain us with the latest state-of-the-artful tricks in jury-chiseling and evidence-juggling. Ad infinitum. And, better yet: *no one will ever again be convicted of anything.* Unless he's unattractive. (See DIO 4.2 ¶9 §F2.) Look on it as a revolutionary national beautification project.

C8 The above-cited (§B5) ABC-TV 'snews poll reported that, of its¹⁹ sample of blacks, 18% thought OS guilty.²⁰ But only 64% thought that the police planted evidence to set OS up for crucifixion. Thus, since 18% + 64% = 82%, we find that the remaining 18% (nearly 1/5) of blacks believe that OS was innocent but not framed. (For whites, the equation is 70% + 26% = 94%, so 6% agree.) Understand, for these splatter-defense-zapped minds (18% black, 6% white), blood with OS' DNA was all over the place, but it wandered there *without being planted by the cops.*

C9 Clinton near-appointee Lani Guinier²¹ (torn between her black and feminist lobby contacts): "I think what we saw in [the Simpson verdict] is that there are *many* truths. And we have to be in a position to try to hold in our minds *all* of those truths, and to work with *all* of those truths so that we can understand that the jury was dealing with the facts as they saw it [*sic*] from their experience and that their experience is a legitimate experience."²² It is part of the American experience. And we have to as a society move beyond the racial divide and the racialized way in which blacks are experiencing the criminal justice system." Though such a bizarre defense of mass-insanity will justly enrage rational feminists, it may well be that Guinier's dementia has a debt to the nut-wing of (not only those who pander to black paranoia but also) the gender feminists.²³ Anyone who has experience with cults (certain religions, the "paradigm"-obsessed Hist.sci clique, or the shrinks) is familiar with Guinier's ploy: no one can be judged, because [a] there is no objective truth,²⁴ and-or [b] one must be a member of a cult to criticize it.²⁵ This is horrid philosophy of science. But, as bloc-political-pandering, it is so ethereally pure — that one can see right through it.

¹⁹ A previous sample (early 1995) found that the actual fraction of blacks who thought OS was guilty was 8%. I see no evidence that this fraction is less accurate than ABC-TV 'snews' reported 18%. In short, the racial split on the verdict may be even wider than now given out.

²⁰ And 83% of blacks agreed with the verdict. Since the sum is 101%, evidently 1% thought he was guilty but weren't sure enough to vote conviction. The numbers for whites: 70% guilty, 37% agreed with verdict. The one white juror just-so-happened to be in the small 7% not-sure-enough subsample. Or felt isolation-intimidated.

²¹ *Face the Nation* (1995/10/8). (Emph entirely hers.) Similarly schiz editorial by MS Editor-in-Chief M.Gillespie at MS 6.3:1 (1995/11-12).

²² [This reasoning could (just as fallaciously) alibi the occasional white jury that (for racist instead of evidential reasons) unjustly convicts a black for a mugging he did not commit. Would the US media broadcast, nationally (without obligatory immediate-followup pundit-horror-at-crimethought), cultist suggestions that such an injustice should stand, simply because the white jury was basing its verdict upon a legitimate-experience of disproportionately high black-on-white (street)crime rates?]

²³ Note the observations of Christina Hoff Sommers *Who Stole Feminism?* NYC 1994 pp.97-98 & 109.

²⁴ See the astute comments of Jos. Agassi (*Centaurus* 37.4:349 [1994]) & Paul Feyerabend (*Studies Philos & Hist Sci* 26.3:353 [1995; from c.1961] pp.356, 381, 385, 387).

²⁵ See, e.g., F.Crews *The Memory Wars: Freud's Legacy in Dispute* NYREV books 1995 p.62 n.24.